THIRD OFF-SITE ACCESS TO CARE REVIEW **FOLLOW-UP ASSESSMENT**

of

GRACEVILLE CORRECTIONAL FACILITY

for the

Physical and Mental Health Review Published June 23, 2021

<u>CMA STAFF</u> Monica Dodrill, RN

I. Overview

In June 2021, the Correctional Medical Authority (CMA) conducted an on-site Access to Care Review of Graceville Correctional Facility to determine whether inmates had adequate access to timely physical, dental, and mental health essential health care services during the COVID-19 pandemic. The report was distributed on June 23, 2021. Unlike the traditional survey process, the Access to Care Review does not require a corrective action plan or subsequent monitoring. However, a follow-up review may be warranted if access to care issues are identified that if left unaddressed could impact patient health outcomes. A written plan was submitted by Graceville in July 2021 which outlined how the deficiencies identified in the report would be addressed. On February 7, 2022, patient records requested by the CMA and provided by the institution were reviewed to assess the adequacy of the improvements. On July 25, 2022, the CMA completed a second off-site patient records review to assess the adequacy of the improvements. On November 21, 2022, the CMA completed a third off-site patient records review to assess the adequacy of the improvements.

II. Assessment Summary of Deficiencies Requiring Institutional Action

Area of Concern – Sick Call Request	Assessment
Ensure that sick call requests are triaged and assessed appropriately, and inmates are treated in a timely manner	Documentation of sick call visits continued to demonstrate delays in triage and assessments.
Conclusion: This area of concern should continue to be addressed.	

Area of Concern - MARS	Assessment
Ensure that all medical and mental health MARS are completed, and inmates receive medications as prescribed	A review of records indicated that MARS continued to have multiple blanks, making it difficult to determine if inmates consistently received medications as prescribed.
Conclusion: This area of concern should continue to be addressed.	

Area of Concern – Consultations	Assessment
Ensure that initial and follow-up consultations and diagnostic testing are completed in a timely manner	A review of consultations demonstrated delays in consultation services.
Conclusion: This area of concern should continue to be add	ressed.

Area of Concern – SHOS	Assessment
 Ensure that inmates on SHOS are observed at the frequency ordered by the clinician Ensure that inmates on SHOS are assessed by the seventh day after discharge to evaluate mental status and institutional adjustment Ensure that all discharges from SHOS are done according to policy 	A review of SHOS revealed the safety observations were not completed as required. Additionally, there was not adequate post discharge follow-up.

III. Conclusion

Further action is required for the concerns noted above. Graceville should continue to implement their improvement plans. Follow-up by the CMA will take place in approximately 90 days.